

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,886	11/05/2003	Robert Hyder	CML00213SSP(18227.9)	6728	
	7590 07/05/2007 OCKHOP, ESQ.		EXAMINER		
2375 MOSSY 1	BRANCH DR.	•	NGUYEN, PHUOC H		
SNELLVILLE	, GA 30078		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2143		
			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/05/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
		1	10/701,886	HYDER ET AL.				
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
	·		huoc H. Nguyen	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any s	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a nication. utory period will a ill, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNICATI  a). In no event, however, may a reply be  apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr  use the application to become ABANDO	ON.  Itimely filed om the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This ac or allowance	etion is non-final. except for formal matters,		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims	<b>,</b>						
5) ☐ 6) ☑ 7) ☐ 8) ☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9) ☐ 10) ☐	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction  on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	hdrawn from on and/or el Examiner. a) accept ion to the dra he correction	ection requirement.  ed or b) objected to by the wing(s) be held in abeyance. So is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/701,886

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is responsive to Response to Election/Restriction filed 05/15/2007.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 9, and 13 are independent claims. In Amendment, claim 13 is withdrawn from consideration in response to the Election/Restriction. This Office Action is made non-final.

#### Election/Restrictions

3. Claim 13 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 05/15/2007.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parsons et al.
- (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0087643).

Re claim 1, Parsons et al. disclose in Figures 1-3 a method for a relay server to provide an electronic mail notification to a mobile device (e.g. Figure 2 wherein the relay server is

Art Unit: 2143

component 112 and the notification is performed by component 202 to the wireless device 120), wherein the mobile device is in communication with the relay server via a wireless communication network (e.g. wireless interface with WAP protocol as seen in Figure 2), the mobile device communicating with the relay server according to a predefined protocol (e.g. WAP as an example), the method comprising the steps of: receiving an electronic mail destined to the mobile device from a mail server (e.g. from email server 124 to notification system 112 in Figure 1); saving the received electronic mail on the relay server (e.g. stored in message information 206 in Figure 2 and abstract); if there is no undelivered electronic mail destined to the mobile device on the relay server, then sending a new message notification to the mobile device, marking the received electronic mail as undelivered, and setting the time of last new message notification to the current time (e.g. path for sending the alert of incoming new message in Figure 3 by components 310, 312, and 314); if there is at least one undelivered electronic mail destined to the mobile device at the relay server (e.g. by update list 308), calculating a lapse time between the time of last new message notification sent to the mobile device and the current time; and if the lapse time is greater than a predefined period (e.g. as time ready to send alert 310 in Figure 3), then sending a new message notification to the mobile device, marking the received electronic mail as undelivered, and setting the time of last new message notification to the current time (e.g. format and send a new alert message to the wireless device in Figure 3).

Re claim 2, Parsons et al. further disclose in Figures 1-3 receiving an electronic mail retrieval request from the mobile device; and transmitting undelivered electronic mails on the relay server to the mobile device (e.g. paragraph [0030]).

Re claim 3, Parsons et al. further disclose in Figures 1-3 deleting electronic mails transmitted from the server to the mobile device; and sending an update message to a mail server (e.g. paragraph [0030]).

2. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gellens (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0176072).

Re claim 9, Gellens discloses in Figures 4-7 a method for a relay server to provide a list of electronic mails to a mobile device according to a request received from the mobile device (e.g. by the preview from server to client in Figure 4), wherein the mobile device is in communication with the relay server via a wireless communication network (e.g. Figure 1), the mobile device communicating with the relay server according to a predefined protocol (e.g. abstract and Figure 4), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a request for electronic mails from a user at the mobile device (e.g. Action command from the client to server in Figure 4), the request including a specification (e.g. either download/delete command), wherein electronic mails that accord to the specification are desired by the user (e.g. originate from the user/client as seen in Figure 1); compiling a list of electronic mails according to the specification; and transmitting the list of electronic mails to the mobile device (e.g. Figure 7 and paragraph [0044] and [0046]).

Re claims 10-12, Gellens further discloses in Figures 4-7 the specification includes a sender name and a time period for all undelivered electronic mails (e.g. paragraph [0044]).

Page 5

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated over Parsons et al.
   (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0087643) in view of Gellens (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0176072).

Re claim 4, Parsons et al. fail to disclose in Figures 1-3 receiving a catch-up request from the mobile device; retrieving a specification from the catch-up request; compiling a list of electronic mails according to the specification; and transmitting the list of electronic mails to the mobile device. However, Gellens discloses in Figures 4-7 receiving a catch-up request from the mobile device (e.g. action in Figure 4); retrieving a specification from the catch-up request (e.g. command from either download/delete with specific categories as seen in Figure 4); compiling a list of electronic mails according to the specification; and transmitting the list of electronic mails to the mobile device (e.g. Figure 7 and paragraph [0046]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add the step of receiving a catch-up request from the mobile device; retrieving a specification from the catch-up request; compiling a list of electronic mails according to the specification; and transmitting the list of electronic mails to the mobile device as seen in Gellens' invention into Parsons et al.'s invention because it would enable to specifically control to receive particular message without downloading large volume (e.g. paragraph [0007]).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,886 Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

Re claims 5-6, Parsons et al. fail to disclose in Figures 1-3 the specification includes a sender name and a time period. However, Gellens discloses in Figures 4-7 the specification includes a sender name and a time period (e.g. paragraph [0044]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add the specification includes a sender name and a time period as seen in Gellens' invention into Parsons et al.'s invention because it would enable to specifically control to receive particular message without downloading large volume (e.g. paragraph [0007]).

6. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated over Parsons et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0087643).

Re claims 7-8, Parsons et al. fail to disclose in Figures 1-3 the electronic mail is encrypted at the mail server or decrypted at the mobile device. However, the examiner takes an Office notice that the encryption/decryption is well known in the art of technology and widely used in the technology of email for security purposes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add the electronic mail is encrypted at the mail server or decrypted at the mobile device into the Parsons et al.'s invention because it would provide secure communication in messaging.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. U.S. Patent No. 6,446,118

Application/Control Number: 10/701,886

Art Unit: 2143

- b. U.S. Patent No. 6,886,030
- c. U.S. Patent No. 6,952,720
- d. U.S. Patent No. 6,032,039
- e. U.S. Patent No. 7,225,230
- f. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0208547
- g. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0055443
- h. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0182383
- i. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0042846
- j. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0083271
- k. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0176072
- 1. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0085503
- m. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0058644
- n. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0087643
- o. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0005051

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuoc H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phuoc H Nguyen

June 19, 2007

Examiner Art Unit 2143